

¹ Jackson styles his submission as a “MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT TO PETITIONER FIRST AMENDMENT PETITION ARTICLE I FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, PURSUANT UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION FOR CONSITTUTIONAL VIOLATION ‘AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS’” (ECF No. 203). Jackson asserts that he should be “releas[ed] from this conviction” because the prior drug convictions on which the court relied to enhance Jackson’s federal sentence no longer qualify as serious drug felonies. Jackson cites no authority on which the court could revisit his conviction or sentence, and I find none.

same conviction and sentence, *See Jackson v. United States*, Case No. 7:05CV00781 (W.D. Va. Jan. 3, 2006) (unpublished). Because Jackson offers no indication that he has obtained certification from the court of appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, I must dismiss Jackson's current action without prejudice.

A separate Final Order will be entered herewith.

DATED: April 23, 2012

/s/ James P. Jones
United States District Judge